

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
LUFKIN DIVISION

**Grantley Patent Holdings, Ltd.,
(a Texas Limited Partnership),
Plaintiff,**

VS.

Clear Channel Communications, Inc.;
Clear Channel Management Services, LP;
Ackerley Broadcasting Fresno, Inc.;
Ackerley Broadcasting Operations, LLC;
AMFM Broadcasting, Inc;
Capstar Radio Operating Company;
Citicasters, Co.;
Clear Channel Broadcasting, Inc.;
Jacor Broadcasting Corporation; and
Jacor Broadcasting of Colorado, Inc.
Defendants.

Civil Action No. 9:06cv259

JURY TRIAL

**ORDER GRANTING AGREED MOTION TO UNSEAL
CERTAIN PORTIONS OF THE TRIAL COURT RECORD**

Before the court is the partie' Agreed Motion to Unseal Certain Portions of the Trial Court Record. The court is of the opinion that the motion should be granted.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the parties' Agreed Motion to Unseal Certain Portions of the Trial Court Record [Doc. # 259] is GRANTED. The following records will be unsealed:

<u>Docket #</u>	<u>Date Filed</u>	<u>Pleading</u>
55	September 5, 2007	Defendants' Technology Synopsis
64	September 26, 2007	Plaintiff's Reply Claim Construction Brief
65	September 26, 2007	Additional Attachment to Plaintiff's Reply Claim Construction Brief
66	September 27, 2007	Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment

<u>Docket #</u>	<u>Date Filed</u>	<u>Pleading</u>
67	September 27, 2007	Additional Attachment to Plaintiff's Reply Claim Construction Brief 96
96	January 25, 2008	Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgement of Non-Infringement and Brief in Support
147	March 17, 2008	Additional Attachments to Plaintiff's Motion <i>in Limine</i> to Exclude Testimony of Mr. Tipton Cole on Issues Not Disclosed
161	March 26, 2008	Order Denying Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment of Non-Infringement

All other portions of record that were placed under seal shall remain under seal. Of course nothing in this order transforms into admissible evidence, documents submitted to assist the court or to inform the court of a party's contentions that were not actual exhibits at trial, such as technology synopses and motions.

So **ORDERED** and **SIGNED** this **24** day of **September, 2008**.



Ron Clark, United States District Judge